

MOLLY HOLLISTER
CHAIR

CLAUDE L. WINFIELD, FIRST VICE CHAIR
AHSIA BADI, SECOND VICE CHAIR



JESÚS PÉREZ
DISTRICT MANAGER

BRIAN VAN NIEUWENHOVEN, TREASURER
BEATRICE DISMAN, ASST. TREASURER
KATHY THOMPSON, SECRETARY
AMELIA ACOSTA, ASST. SECRETARY

THE CITY OF NEW YORK
MANHATTAN COMMUNITY BOARD SIX
211 EAST 43RD STREET, SUITE 1404
NEW YORK, NY 10017

Resolution on street co-naming criteria for Manhattan Community Board Six

WHEREAS, Manhattan Community Board Six (CB6) has developed their own set of criteria for street co-naming standards and in 2008 the New York City Council adopted their street co-naming standards;

WHEREAS, since street co-naming requests must be approved by the City Council, requests to the CB6 should also conform to these standards;

WHEREAS, The New York City Council standards state that Subjects of Co-naming must be either:

Individuals who are:

- Deceased;
- New York City residents or natives or individuals of particular importance to New York City;
- Of enduring or lasting interest to large segments of the city's population or who have undertaken an act or acts of enduring or lasting interest to their community; or
- Of importance to the city and whose enduring interest is a result of exemplary acts or achievements which reflect positively on the city;

Or, organizations that are:

- Of particular importance to New York City;
- Of enduring or lasting interest to large segments of the city's population or that have undertaken acts of enduring or lasting interest; or
- Of importance to the city or and whose enduring interest is a result of exemplary acts or achievements which reflect positively on the city;

WHEREAS, the procedure and timeframe for Street co-namings are created by legislation that the City Council writes two-to-four times each year, and the deadline for submitting these requests varies;

WHEREAS, the process for co-naming has numerous steps that take several months:

- The first step is a review of the required documentation by the relevant community board's Transportation Committee
- Council Members then submit street co-naming requests to Council staff and provide background information

- City Council staff conducts a background analysis of the proposed honoree(s) and produces a memo with its findings
- The background analysis and all relevant vetting information are forwarded to all Council Members, who have up to 21 days [in which] to comment
- Council staff may, after consulting with the sponsoring Council Member, flag a candidate as in need of further consideration
- If any Council Member raises opposition to a proposed co-naming during the comment period, it will be subject to further review;

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of the proposer to leave sufficient time for the numerous steps necessary before a request is included in the legislation;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Manhattan Community Board Six asks that all applicants approach their council member to review co-naming requests when submitting them to Community Board Six;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Manhattan Community Board Six supports adding this information to the existing requirements found on the Board's web site, in order to assist interested parties in preparing applications for street co-naming.

VOTE: 34 in Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstention 0 Not Entitled